



A physical and concise halo model based on the depletion radius

Yifeng Zhou, Jiaxin Han Department of astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong Univerity

arXiv: 2303.10886

2023.6.19



Background





Background

- Halo exclusion

Haloes are independent objects that do not overlap with each other

• Standard halo model

matter distribution around halo centre

$$\xi_{\rm hm}(r|M) = \langle \delta_{\rm h}(\boldsymbol{x}|M) \delta_{\rm m}(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle$$

1-halo term:
$$\xi_{hm}^{1h}(r|m) = \frac{\rho(r|m)}{\bar{\rho}}$$
 (small scales)
2-halo term: $\xi_{hm}^{2h}(r|m) = b(m)\xi_{L}(r)$ (large scales)

• Issues:

- Unphysical radius definition leads to the ambiguity in halo edges;
- Conventional model is defective on transition scales due to halo exclusion;

A physical exclusion radius matching to the halo model is needed







Background

- Depletion radius

- Mass flow rate: $I_{\rm m}(r) \equiv 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) v_{\rm r}(r)$
- Continuity equation of mass:

$$\frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \frac{\partial I_{\rm m}(r,t)}{\partial r} = 0$$

Grow : $\partial I_{\rm m}/\partial r < 0$, $\partial \rho/\partial t > 0$ Deplete : $\partial I_{\rm m}/\partial r > 0$, $\partial \rho/\partial t < 0$

- Maximum infall rate: inner depletion radius *R*_{id}
 - Growth boundary: Grow vs. Deplete
 - Exclusion boundary: Halo vs. Environment

 R_{id} as a natural choice for the halo boundary

Build a physical halo model based on R_{id}



Depletion halo model

- A self-consistent halo catalog



small halo profiles

- 1017 z = 0.12, log $M_{vir} = 12.48$ z = 0.25, $\log M_{\rm vir} = 12.47$ $\begin{array}{c} 0(r) \left[M_{\odot} h^{2} / Mpc^{3} \right] \\ \rho(r) \left[M_{\odot} h^{2} / Mpc^{3} \right] \\ \eta^{10_{12}} \end{array}$ z = 0.53, log $M_{\rm vir} = 12.42$ z = 0.76, $\log M_{\rm vir} = 12.35$ z = 1.03, log $M_{\rm vir} = 12.30$ z = 1.55, log $M_{vir} = 12.18$ $z = 2.02, \log M_{\rm vir} = 12.03$ z = 3.03, log $M_{vir} = 11.86$ 10^{11} 400 300 200 20(100 (*L*)², 100 -100 -200 -200 -300 -400 10-2 10-1 100 101 r [Mpc/h]
- Catalog cleaning:

remove the haloes overlapping with more massive neighbours

• Exclusion scale: $R_{\text{ex}}(m_1, m_2) = R_{\text{id}}(m_1) + R_{\text{id}}(m_2)$

• Isolated halo sample: $d > R_{id}(m_1) + R_{id}(m_2)$

Gao et al. (2023)

Depletion halo model





- Self-similar for different halo masses
- Truncate at the exclusion scale

2. a truncation depending on the halo mass

$$1 + \xi_{\rm hh}(r|m_1, m_2) = [1 + b(m_1)b(m_2)\hat{\xi}_{\rm hh}(r)]\mathbf{H}(r - r_{\rm t})$$

Depletion halo model

- Model framework



Results

- Fits to bias profiles

N

Relative density profile:
$$b(r) = \frac{\xi_{\rm hm}(r)}{\xi_{\rm mm}(r)} = \frac{\langle \delta(r) \rangle}{\xi_{\rm mm}(r)}$$

Mass range:
$$10^{11.5} h^{-1} M_{\odot} < M_{\rm vir} < 10^{15.35} h^{-1} M_{\odot}$$

Radial range:
$$0.01h^{-1}Mpc < r < 20h^{-1}Mpc$$

- Single free parameter: b_{unr}
- b(r) with accuracy $\leq 10\%$ across wide radial and mass range
- Deviations of the highest-mass haloes on small scales are caused by fixed parameter relation in the 1-halo term.





Results

- Compare with other works

• Hayashi & White (HW08):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\text{model}}(r;M) &= \begin{cases} \xi_{1h}(r) & \text{if } \xi_{1h}(r) \ge \xi_{2h}(r), \\ \xi_{2h}(r) & \text{if } \xi_{1h}(r) < \xi_{2h}(r), \end{cases} \\ \xi_{1h}(r) &= \frac{\rho_{\text{halo}}(r;M) - \overline{\rho}_{\text{m}}}{\overline{\rho}_{\text{m}}} \\ \xi_{2h}(r) &= b(M)\xi_{\text{lin}}(r), \end{aligned}$$

• Diemer & Kravtsov (DK14):

$$\rho(r) = \rho_{\text{inner}} \times f_{\text{trans}} + \rho_{\text{outer}}$$

$$\rho_{\text{inner}} = \rho_{\text{Einasto}} = \rho_{\text{s}} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{s}}}\right)^{\alpha} - 1\right]\right)$$

$$f_{\text{trans}} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{t}}}\right)^{\beta}\right]^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta}}$$

$$\rho_{\text{outer}} = \rho_{\text{m}} \left[b_{\text{e}} \left(\frac{r}{5R_{200\text{m}}}\right)^{-s_{\text{e}}} + 1\right].$$

• **Our model:** performs well on both intermediate and large scales



Summary





- Our model reproduces b(r) with accuracy $\leq 10\%$ across wide radial and mass ranges;
- Mass conservation is automatically maintained in our model after considering the unresolved mass;
- Our model performs well in both linear and non-linear scales compared with previous work.