HSC

# HSC Year 3 Weak Lensing Cosmology Results

Hironao Miyatake (KMI, Nagoya University) On behalf of the Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program Collaboration

NACJA

VET NOV TES TAM EN TVM

Carnegie Mellon University

KAVLI **PMU** INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE

# Weak lensing working group





















And efforts of many more!

# HSC Year 3 Weak Lensing Cosmology Results



- The three-year shear catalog of the Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam SSP Survey (Li X., et al. 2022, PASJ, 74, 2)
- A General Framework for Removing Point Spread Function Additive Systematics in Cosmological Weak Lensing Analysis (**Zhang T.** et al. 2022, MNRAS 525, 2441)
- Weak Lensing Tomographic Redshift Distribution Inference for the Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program three-year shape catalogue (**Rau, M.** et al. 2022, MNRAS, 524, 5109)
- Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Cosmology from Cosmic Shear Two-Point Correlation Functions (Li X., et al. 2023, PRD, in press)
  - Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Cosmology from Cosmic Shear Power Spectra (**Dalal R.,** et al. 2023, PRD, in press)
- Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Measurements of the Clustering of SDSS-BOSS galaxies, galaxy-galaxy lensing and cosmic shear (More S., et al. 2023, PRD, in press)
- Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Cosmology from Galaxy Clustering and Weak Lensing with HSC and SDSS using the Minimal Bias Model (**Sugiyama S.**, et al. 2023, PRD, in press)
- Hyper Suprime-Cam Year 3 Results: Cosmology from Galaxy Clustering and Weak Lensing with HSC and SDSS using the Emulator Based Halo Model (Miyatake H., et al. 2023, PRD, in press)
- Optical Cluster Cosmology with SDSS redMaPPer clusters and HSC-Y3 lensing measurements (**Sunayama T**, et al., 2023, arXiv:2309.13025) ← NEW!

https://hsc-release.mtk.nao.ac.jp/doc/index.php/wly3/

papers

# Testing ΛCDM using S<sub>8</sub>

# $S_8 \equiv \sigma_8 \sqrt{\Omega_{\rm m}/0.3}$

- $\sigma_{g}$ : Clumpiness of cosmic structure today.
- $\Omega_m$ : Energy density of matter (incl. dark matter).

#### S<sub>8</sub> tension?

Most large scale structure probes (weak lensing, galaxy clustering, galaxy clusters, etc...) prefer smaller  $S_8$  compared to CMB, if we assume  $\Lambda$ CDM is correct.



SNOWMASS 2021 Summer study: Abdalla et al. (2022)

# Large Scale Structure of the Universe

w/o dark matter w/ dark matter

credit: N. Yoshida





- The nature of dark matter and dark energy is embedded in the growth of cosmic structure.
- Caution: dark matter makes up ~85% of the matter in the Universe, but we cannot directly observe dark matter.

# Weak Gravitational Lensing

$$\gamma \propto \Omega_{\rm m} \underbrace{\frac{D_A(z_l, z_s)D_A(z_l)}{D_A(z_s)}}_{\text{Weak lensing shear}} \underbrace{\frac{\delta(z_l)}{\delta(z_l)}}_{\text{Matter density fluctuation}}$$

Weak lensing enables us to map out dark matter distributions in the Universe



# Weak Gravitational Lensing



#### Observables

- $\gamma$  : Average of **galaxy shapes** 
  - Calibration by image simulations (Mandelbaum+, 2015)
  - Meta-calibration (Huff & Mandelbaum, 2017)
- $Z_l, Z_s$ : **Redshift** of lenses and sources
  - → Can be major systematics!





# Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam (HSC)

- Wide FOV: 1.5 deg. Diameter
- Huge light-collecting power: 8.2m primary mirror
- Superb image quality: seeing~0.6"

# HSC is one of the best "weak lensing machines" in the world.





Photo credit: NAOJ / HSC Project

# HSC Subaru Strategic Program (SSP) Survey



- Wide Layer (~1,100 deg<sup>2</sup>, grizy,  $i_{lim}$ ~26) is designed for weak lensing cosmology.
- Overlaps with other major surveys (SDSS/BOSS, ACT, VIKING, GAMA, VVDS, etc...).
- The survey started in 2014 and was completed in 2021.
- In this talk, we will give results from the data taken until April 2019 (416 deg<sup>2</sup>).

### **HSC-Y3** Shape Catalog



Li+ (2022)

XMM VVDS dec [deg] 30.0° 32.0° 34.0° 36.0° 38.0° 340.0° 350.0° GAMA09H GAMA15H [deg] lec 130.0° 135.0° 140.0° 145.0° 150.0° 210.0° 215.0° 220.0° 225.0 WIDE12H dec [deg] 2 160.0° 170.0°  $180.0^{\circ}$ 190.0° HECTOMAP dec [deg] 42 230.0° 240.0° 220.0° ra [deg]

Using i-band HSC images Magnitude cut: 24.5 Area: 416 (square degree) Number of galaxies: 25 million **Number density:** ~20 ( / square arcmin) Seeing size: 0.6 arcsec Calibrated with image simulation

© 5 number density [arcmin<sup>−2</sup>]

# Result 1: Cosmic Shear



Li et al. (2023)



Dalal et al. (2023)

# Weak Lensing Cosmology

Intrinsic galaxy shape

Galaxy image sheared by lensing

• LSS is sensitive to cosmological parameters

 $(\Omega_{\rm m},\sigma_8)$  and  $S_8\equiv\sigma_8\sqrt{\Omega_{\rm m}/0.3}$ 

- Cosmic shear
  - $\xi_{\pm}(\theta)$  (2 Point Correlation Functions) measures the correlation of shapes of galaxies with an angular separation  $\theta$ .
  - $C_{\ell}$  (Angular Power Spectrum) measures the second moment of the Fourier transform of the shear field, as a function of multipole ( $\ell$ ).
  - We use four redshift bins to measure the evolution of large scale structure.

present

# **Redshift distribution inference**



Grey: photo-z likelihood (DNNz) + cosmic variance

Clustering Redshift: cross-correlation between HSC source catalog and CAMIRA-LRG

Red: joint posterior of the two

Source galaxies with z>1.2 are <u>not</u> calibrated by CAMIRA-LRG samples.

- $\Delta z_1$ ,  $\Delta z_2$ : Gaussian prior  $\sigma^{\sim}0.02$
- $\Delta z_3^{'}$ ,  $\Delta z_4^{'}$ : Uniform prior [-1, 1]



### **Measurements**



### **Comparison with Fourier Space analysis and Other Observations**

Real space and Fourier space analyses <u>are consistent</u> with each other. Note that the <u>two analyses rely on</u> <u>different scales.</u>





Rau+2022 Li+2023 Dalal+2023

# Prior on $\Delta z_3$ and $\Delta z_4$



- Mock test to show that the shift in  $\Delta z_3$  and  $\Delta z_4$  is statistically significant.
  - $\circ$   $\Delta z < 0$  means true redshift is shifted towards higher redshift.
- We use a flat prior for  $\Delta z_3$  and  $\Delta z_4 \Delta z = [-1, 1]$
- We made this decision before unblinding.



Comparing statistical spread of  $\Delta z3$  and  $\Delta z4$ , versus the shift using a flat prior. (real space)



# Results2: 3x2pt Analyses

Sugiyama+ (2023) More+ (2023) Miyatake+ (2023)

# **3x2pt Analysis**

- LSS is sensitive to cosmological parameters  $(\Omega_{\rm m}, \sigma_8)$  and  $S_8 \equiv \sigma_8 \sqrt{\Omega_{\rm m}/0.3}$
- Cosmic shear + 2x2pt: 3x2pt
  - 2x2pt: Galaxy-galaxy clustering x lensing
    - Auto-correlation of galaxy positions
    - Cross-correlation of galaxy positions and weak lensing shear
  - We performed large-scale analysis and small-scale analysis.





### Measurements

#### Small scale analysis: more S/N, difficulty in modeling Large scale analysis: linear bias approx. holds



- Various systematic tests
  - Confirmed no redshift evolution in g-g lensing and clustering
  - g-g lensing: B-mode, boosts
  - g-g clustering: different luminosity cuts
  - cosmic shear: B-mode, imperfect
    PSF modeling/correction



# **Modeling Small-scale Signals**

#### Challenges

- Accurate modeling of non-linear regimes
- Proper treatment of uncertainties in galaxy-halo connection



dark matter dark matter halos galaxies



### Cosmology from HSC x SDSS 3x2pt analyses



Small-scale analysis result for flat CDM

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\rm m} &= 0.382^{+0.031}_{-0.047} \\ \sigma_8 &= 0.685^{+0.035}_{-0.026} \\ S_8 &= 0.763^{+0.040}_{-0.036} \end{split}$$
 5% constraint!

Good agreement between small & large-scale analysis. Small-scale analysis is most sensitive to  $S_8' \equiv \sigma_8 (\Omega_m/0.3)^{0.22} = 0.721 \pm 0.028$ 

# Results3: Cluster Cosmology with WL calibrations



Sunayama+ (2023)

#### **Cluster cosmology with SDSS clusters and HSC WL mass calibration**

- Cluster abundance is sensitive to S<sub>8</sub>.
- SDSS redMaPPer clusters at 0.1 < z < 0.33.</li>
- Conservative selection for HSC source galaxies.
- Calibrated projection effect by combining cluster-clustering. (Sunayama+ 2020, Park+ 2022).



#### **Cluster cosmology with SDSS clusters and HSC WL mass calibration**



# Summary

# HSC Year 3: Summary of results



- Consistent cosmological constraints from blind analyses
  - Cosmic shear (Real and Fourier space)
  - 3x2 pt analysis (Linear and Quasi-linear scales)
  - Optically-selected clusters
- Conservative analyses in the presence of systematic uncertainties in the redshifts of source galaxies
- Difference from the CMB expectation in LCDM model context based on various tension metrics is ~2.5 sigma.



SNOWMASS 2021 Summer study: Abdalla et al. (2022)



Are we reaching the limits of the standard cosmological model?

ACT DR6 CMB lensing result is consistent with Planck Primary CMB

### HSC survey: the future



- Completed HSC survey has a full-depth full-color coverage of about 1087 deg<sup>2</sup>
- Data currently being processed at NAOJ using the latest Rubin science pipelines
- Systematics challenges need to be overcome to leverage the statistical power
  - Blending of galaxies, PSF systematics, Source redshift uncertainties amongst others